## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF NEVADA

United States of America, Case No. 2:14-cr-085-APG-DJA

Plaintiff,

Kenneth Gordon Wescott,

v.

3

6

7

8

9

11

141

Defendant.

(ECF Nos. 492, 494)

**ORDER** 

Defendant Kenneth Gordon Wescott moves for reconsideration of my order partially granting his prior motion for discovery. ECF No. 492. A motion for reconsideration should rarely be granted, and only if the movant can show clear error, newly discovered evidence, or an intervening change in the governing law. *Kona Enterprises, Inc. v. Estate of Bishop*, 229 F.3d 877, 890 (9th Cir. 2000). Mr. Wescott does not present a sufficient basis for me to reconsider or change my prior order.

Mr. Wescott also moves for production of various documents filed in this case. In my
prior order granting Mr. Wescott limited discovery, I ordered the Government to provide Mr.
Wescott with the docket sheet, *Faretta* colloquies, and its Trial Memorandum. ECF No. 488 at 2.
I noted that Mr. Wescott could "then identify what specific, additional documents he needs to
prepare his § 2255 motion." *Id.* Mr. Wescott now requests additional documents. ECF No. 494.
The Government responds that I should deny this motion because I "already held that [Mr.]
Wescott is not entitled to discovery since he has no pending § 2255 motion." ECF No. 495 at 2.
The Government ignores my invitation for Mr. Wescott to identify and request specific documents from the docket sheet. ECF No. 488 at 2. That is why I ordered the Government to

produce it to him. Although the Government points out that Mr. We cott is requesting over 100 documents, that does not, in and of itself, justify a blanket rejection of all requested documents. The Government should review the list of requested documents and identify those it is willing to 3 produce and those that contain contraband or are otherwise objectionable. The Government shall produce to Mr. Wescott, on a CD, the documents it does not object to, and it shall file a supplemental response to the motion identifying the objectionable documents and the reasons for 7 those objections. 8 I THEREFORE ORDER that Mr. Wescott's motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 492) is 9 denied. 10 I FURTHER ORDER the Government to produce to Mr. Wescott, on a CD, the documents it does not object to, and to file a supplemental response to the motion (ECF No. 494) 11 identifying the objectionable documents and the reasons for those objections. The CD must be 13 sent to Mr. Wescott and the response must be filed by October 30, 2020. I will defer a decision |14|on the motion (ECF No. 494) until the Government responds to this order. 15 Dated: October 6, 2020. ANDREW P. GORDON 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 17 18 19 20 21 22 23